#### **V8: Hematopoeisis**

Blood has long served as a model to study organ development owing to the **accessibility** of blood cells and the availability of markers for specific cell populations.

Blood development initiates at **gastrulation** from multipotent Flk1<sup>+</sup> mesodermal cells, which initially have the potential to form blood, endothelium and smooth muscle cells.

**Blood development** represents one of the **earliest stages** of **organogenesis**, as the production of primitive erythrocytes is required to support the growing embryo.



Flk1 and Runx1 staining in E7.5 mesoderm and blood band, respectively

### **Early stages of hematopoesis**



The first wave of primitive hematopoiesis originates from Flk1<sup>+</sup> mesoderm, with all hematopoietic potential in the mouse contained within the Flk1<sup>+</sup> population from E7.0 onwards.

Single Flk1<sup>+</sup> cells were flow sorted at E7.0 (primitive streak, PS), E7.5 (neural plate, NP) and E7.75 (head fold, HF) stages.

We subdivided E8.25 cells into putative blood and endothelial populations by isolating GFP<sup>+</sup> cells (four somite, 4SG) and Flk1<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup>-</sup> cells (4SFG<sup>-</sup>), respectively

#### **Material**

Cells were sorted from multiple embryos at each time point, with 3,934 cells going on to subsequent analysis.

Total cell numbers and numbers of cells of appropriate phenotypes present in each embryo were estimated from fluorescenceactivated cell sorting (FACS) data.

Cell type	Number of embryos	Cells sorted	Cells retained	Percentage retained
PS	12	725	624	86.1
NP	9	637	552	86.7
HF	8	1,184	1,005	84.9
4SG	3	1,085	983	90.6
4SFG	- 4	858	770	89.7
Total	36	4,489	3,934	87.6



#### What experiments should be performed

Cell type	Number of embryos	Cells sorted	Cells retained	Percentage retained
PS	12	725	624	86.1
NP	9	637	552	86.7
HF	8	1,184	1,005	84.9
4SG	3	1,085	983	90.6
4SFG	- 4	858	770	89.7
Total	36	4,489	3,934	87.6

Discard cells that did not express all 4 house-keeping genes, or for which their expression was more than 3 standard deviations from the mean.

#### Genes essayed

 - 33 transcription factors known to be involved in endothelial and hematopoietic development

- 9 marker genes (needed for FACSsorting)
- 4 house-keeping genes (needed for quality checks and normalization)

#### Fluidigm biomark: collect gene expression in single cells

"Fluidigm's revolutionary integrated fluidic circuits (IFCs) empower life science research by automating PCR reactions in nanoliter volumes."





www.fluidigm.com

## Hierarchical clustering of gene expression data





SS 2015 – lecture 8

Moignard et al., Nature Biotech. 33, 269 (2015)

Modeling Cell Fate

#### **Dimensionality reduction: diffusion maps**



Similarity of expression in cells *i* and *j*:

$$P(i,j) = \frac{1}{Z_i} \exp\left(\frac{-\left(x_i - x_j\right)^2}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

P(i,j) is normalized so that  $\sum_{i=1}^{j} P(i,j) = 1$ 

The cells are organized in 2D or 3D such that the Euclidean distance between the cells corresponds to the diffusion metric P(i,j).

The quantity P(i,j) can then be interpreted as the transition probability of a diffusion process between cells.

Axes: eigenvectors of matrix P with largest eigenvalues.

Modeling Cell Fate

#### **Quorum sensing of Vibrio fischeri**



#### **Boolean Networks**

"Blackboard explanations" often formulated as conditional transitions

- "If LuxI is present, then AI will be produced..."
- "If there is AI and there's no LuxR:AI bound to the genome, then LuxR will be expressed and complexes can form..."
- "If LuxR:AI is bound to the genome, then LuxI is expressed..."

#### Simplified mathematical description of the dependencies:

Densities of the species<=>discrete states: on/off, 1/0Network of dependencies<=>condition tablesProgress in time<=>discrete propagation steps

#### **Boolean Networks II**

State of the system: described by vector of discrete values

 $S_i = \{0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, \ldots\}$ 

 $S_i = \{x_1(i), x_2(i), x_3(i), \ldots\}$ 

fixed number of species with finite number of states each

- $\rightarrow$  finite number of system states
- $\rightarrow$  periodic trajectories

→ **periodic** sequence of states = **attractor** 

 $\rightarrow$  all states leading to an attractor = **basin of attraction** 

#### **Propagation**:

$$S_{i+1} = \{ x_1(i+1), x_2(i+1), x_3(i+1), \ldots \}$$
$$x_1(i+1) = f_1(x_1(i), x_2(i), x_3(i), \ldots)$$

with  $f_i$  given by condition tables

## A Small Example

**State vector**  $S = \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow 8$  possible states

#### **Conditional evolution:**



A activates B



Start from {A, B, C} = {1, 0, 0}



В

C is on if (B is on && A is off)

C <sub>i+1</sub>	Ai	Bi
0	0	0
1	0	1
0	1	0
0	1	1

assume here that inhibition through A is stronger than activation via B

#### **Test the Other States**



 $\rightarrow$  Either all off or stable oscillations

# Who regulates hematopoiesis? Design Boolean Network

33 transcription factors 3,934 cells = 129,822 RTqPCRs Binary discretization

Possible binary states =	$2^{33} \approx 8,589 \times 10^{6}$
Measured binary states =	3,934
Observed unique binary states =	= 3,070
Largest connected component =	1,448

Determine suitable expression thresholds for each gene to categorize its expression levels into binary on / off states.

Note that only a small number of the possible states has been observed.

#### State graph



State graph (largest connected component) of 1448 states reaching all 5 stages.

Edges connect all states that differ in the on/off levels of a single gene.

#### **Automatic derivation of rules for Boolean Network**

We are given:

- a set of variables *V*, corresponding to **genes**,
- an undirected graph G = (N,E)
   where each node n ∈ N is labeled with a state s: V→{0,1}, and each edge {s<sub>1</sub>,s<sub>2</sub>} ∈ E is labeled with the single variable that changes between state s<sub>1</sub> and s<sub>2</sub>.

We are also given a designated set  $I \subseteq N$  of **initial vertices** and a designated set  $F \subseteq N$  of **final vertices**, along with a **threshold**  $t_i$  for each variable  $v_i \in V$ .

#### **Automatic derivation of rules for Boolean Network**

Our synthesis method searches for an orientation of *G*, along with an update function  $u_i:\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  for each variable  $v_i \in V$ , such that the following conditions hold:

1. For each edge  $(s_1, s_2)$  labeled with variable  $v_i$  in the orientated graph, the update function for  $v_i$  takes state  $s_1$  to state  $s_2$ :  $u_i(s_1) = s_2(i)$ .

2. For every variable  $v_i \in V$ , let  $N_i$  be the set of states without a  $v_i$ -labeled edge. For every *i* the number of states  $s \in N_i$  such that  $u_i(s) = s(i)$  is greater than or equal to  $t_i$ . (This condition "*maximizes the number of states in which no transitions induced by the update functions are missing*".)

3. Every final vertex  $f \in F$  is reachable from some initial vertex  $i \in I$  by a directed path in the orientated graph.

#### **Automatic derivation of rules for Boolean Network**

We restrict the update function  $u_i$  to have the form:

 $f_1 \frown f_2$ 

where  $f_j$  is a Boolean formula that has and-nodes of in-degree two and/or-nodes of arbitrary in-degree, and where  $f_1$  has a maximum depth of  $N_i$  and  $f_2$  has a maximum depth of  $M_i$ .

 $N_i$  and  $M_i$  are given as parameters to the method.

The search for edge orientations and associated Boolean update rules is encoded as a Boolean satisfiability (SAT) problem.

#### **Generated rules**

Gene	Synthesised update functions	% Non-observed	Motifs present
		transitions disallowed (N <sub>i</sub> )	
Scl	Fli1	98	Yes
Etv2	Notch1	96	Yes
Fli1	Etv2	96	Yes
	Sox7	97	Yes
Lyl1	Sox7	92	Yes
Sox7	Sox17∨ HoxB4	82	No (Sox missing)
Erg	$(HoxB4 \land Lyl1) \lor Sox17$	84	Yes
	(HoxB4 ∧ Tal1) ∨ Sox17	83	Yes
Notch1	Sox7	94	Yes
Gata1	Gfi1b ∧ Lmo2	86	Yes
	Gfi1b $\land$ Hhex	84	No (Hhex missing)
	Gfi1b ∧ Ets1	84	Yes
HoxB4	(Lyl1 ∧ Ets1) ∧ ¬Gata1	65	Yes
	(Lyl1 ∨ Nfe2) ∧ ¬Gata1	65	Yes
	(Lyl1 ∨ lkaros) ∧ ¬Gata1	65	No (Ikaros missing)
Sox17	Lyl1 $\land \neg Gfi1b$	77	No (Gfi missing)
	$(Eto2 \land Sox7) \land \neg Gfi1b$	76	No (Gfi missing)
	(Eto2 ∧ Tal1) ∧ ¬Gfi1b	75	No (Gfi missing)
Ets1	Notch1	96	Yes
Gfi1	Gata1 ∧ ¬Sox17	88	Yes
	Nfe2 ∧ ¬Sox17	88	Yes
Gfi1b	Nfe2 ∧ Myb	87	Yes
	Pu.1 ∧ Ikaros	86	No (Ikaros missing)
	Pu.1 ∧ Nfe2	86	Yes
	Pu.1 ∧ Myb	86	Yes
Eto2	Sox7	93	No (Sox missing)
	Hhex	92	No (Hhex missing)
	Ets1 ∧ Fli1	94	No (Ets missing)
Hhex	Sox7	97	No (Sox missing)
	Notch1	93	No (Rbpj missing)
Ikaros	Nfe2∨ Gfi1b	84	Yes
	Nfe2∨ Gata1	83	Yes
	Nfe2∨ Gfi1	82	Yes
Lmo2	Sox7∨ Gfi1	79	Yes
	Sox7∨ Erg	79	Yes
	Sox7∨ HoxB4	77	Yes
Nfe2	Ikaros	78	Yes
Pu.1	Gfi1 ∨ Erg	67	Yes
Myb	HoxB4	64	Yes

Additional validity check of the postulated rules:

check whether regulated genes contain TF-binding motifs in their promoters (right column).

This is the case for 70% of the rules.

#### **Core network controlling hematopoiesis**



Derived core network of 20 TFs.

Red edges: activation Blue edges: repression

#### Predict effects of perturbations as validation

*In silico* perturbations predict key regulators of blood development. Overexpression and knockout experiments were simulated for each TF and the ability of the network to reach wildtype or new stable states was assessed



Network stable states for wt and Sox7 overexpression.

Enforced expression of Sox7 (that is normally downregulated) stabilized the endothelial module and an inability to reach any of the blood-like states.

Sox7 is predicted to regulate more targets than any other TF, suggesting that perturbing its expression could have important downstream consequences

Moignard et al., Nature Biotech. 33, 269 (2015)

SS 2015 – lecture 8

#### **Control experiments**

(b) Colony assays with or without doxycycline
 from genotyped E8.25 embryos from
 iSox7<sup>+</sup>rtTA<sup>+</sup> mice crossed with wild types.

(**c**) Quantification of primitive erythroid colonies after 4 days.

Embryos carrying both transgenes (bottom) showed a 50% reduction of primitive erythroid colony formation and simultaneous appearance of undifferentiated hemangioblast-like colonies following doxycycline-induced *Sox7* expression compared to controls.

This suggests, in agreement with modeling data and gene expression patterns, that downregulation of *Sox7* is important for the specification of primitive erythroid cells.



Moignard et al.,

In iSox7-mouse, overexpression of Sox7 is stimulated by inducing the Sox7-promoter by addition of the chemical doxycycline (+Dox).

SS 2015 – lecture 8

#### **Conclusions**

The results indicate, at least for cells destined to become blood and endothelium, that these cells arise at all stages of the analyzed time course rather than in a synchronized fashion at one precise time point, consistent with the gradual nature of gastrulation.

Using an automated Boolean Network synthesis toolkit we identified a core network of 20 highly connected TFs, which could reach 8 stable states representing blood and endothelium.

We validated model predictions to demonstrate e.g. that Sox7 blocks primitive erythroid development.

#### **Cytosine methylation**

<u>Observation</u>: 3-6 % of all cytosines are methylated in human DNA. This methylation occurs (almost) exclusively when cytosine is followed by a guanine base -> **CpG dinucleotide**.



5-methyl-cytosine

Mammalian genomes contain much fewer (only 20-25 %) of the CpG dinucleotide than is expected by the G+C content (we expect  $1/16 \approx 6\%$  for any random dinucleotide).

This is typically explained in the following way:

As most CpGs serve as targets of DNA methyltransferases, they are usually methylated.

Esteller, Nat. Rev. Gen. 8, 286 (2007) www.wikipedia.org

#### **Cytosine methylation**

5-Methylcytosine can easily **deaminate** to **thymine**.



If this mutation is not repaired, the affected CpG is permanently converted to TpG (or CpA if the transition occurs on the reverse DNA strand).

Hence, methylCpGs represent **mutational hot spots** in the genome. If such mutations occur in the germ line, they become heritable.

A constant loss of CpGs over thousands of generations can explain the low frequency of this special dinucleotide in the genomes of human and mouse.

Modeling Cell FateEsteller, Nat. Rev. Gen. 8, 286 (2007)www.wikipedia.org

# effects in chromatin organization affect gene expression

#### В

Gene "switched on"

- Active (open) chromatin
- Unmethylated cytosines (white circles)
- Acetylated histones

# Transcription possible

Gene "switched off"

- Silent (condensed) chromatin
- Methylated cytosines (red circles)
- Deacetylated histones

Schematic of the reversible changes in chromatin organization that influence gene expression:

genes are expressed (switched on) when the chromatin is **open** (active), and they are inactivated (switched off) when the chromatin is **condensed** (silent).

White circles = unmethylated cytosines;

red circles = methylated cytosines.

Rodenhiser, Mann, CMAJ 174, 341 (2006)

SS 2015 - lecture 8

Modeling Cell Fate

# **Enzymes that control DNA methylation and histone modfications**

These dynamic chromatin states are controlled by reversible epigenetic patterns of **DNA methylation** and **histone modifications**.

Enzymes involved in this process include

- DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs),
- histone deacetylases (HDACs),
- histone acetylases,
- histone methyltransferases and the
- methyl-binding domain protein MECP2.



For example, **repetitive** genomic sequences (e.g. human endogenous retroviral sequences = HERVs) are **heavily methylated**, which means transcriptionally silenced.

Rodenhiser, Mann, CMAJ 174, 341 (2006) Feinberg AP & Tycko P (2004) Nature Reviews: 143-153

#### **DNA** methylation

# Typically, unmethylated clusters of CpG pairs are located in **tissue-specific genes** and in essential **housekeeping genes**.

(House-keeping genes are involved in routine maintenance roles and are expressed in most tissues.)

These clusters, or **CpG islands**, are targets for proteins that bind to unmethylated CpGs and initiate gene transcription.

In contrast, **methylated CpGs** are generally associated with silent DNA, can block methylation-sensitive proteins and can be easily mutated.

The loss of normal DNA methylation patterns is the best understood epigenetic cause of disease.

In animal experiments, the removal of genes that encode DNMTs is lethal; in humans, overexpression of these enzymes has been linked to a variety of cancers.

Rodenhiser, Mann, CMAJ 174, 341 (2006)

### **Differentiation linked to alterations of chromatin structure**



(B) Upon
differentiation,
inactive genomic
regions may be
sequestered by
repressive chromatin
enriched for
characteristic histone
modifications.

(A) In pluripotent cells,chromatin is hyperdynamicand globally accessible.

ML Suva et al. Science 2013; 339:1567-1570

#### **Epigenetic stability**

In somatic tissues, **CpG islands** at housekeeping or developmental promoters are largely unmethylated, whereas non-regulatory CpGs distributed elsewhere in the genome are largely methylated.

This **DNA methylation landscape** is relatively **static** across all somatic tissues.

Most of methylated CpGs are pre-established and inherited through cell division.

In at least two phases of the life cycle of mammals, epigenetic stability is globally perturbed:

- when gametes fuse to form the zygote and
- when gamete precursors (primordial germ cells; PGCs) develop and migrate in the embryo.

This *in vivo* '**reprogramming**' of the epigenetic landscape signals the reacquisition of totipotency in the zygote and the formation of the next generation through PGCs.

Cantone & Fisher, Nature Struct Mol Biol. 20, 292 (2013)

#### Waddington: Epigenetic landscape



Conrad H. Waddington 1956: "Principles of Embryology"; www.nature.com

Developmental potential	Epigenetic status
Totipotent	Global DNA demethylation
Zygote	Only active X chromosomes;
Pluripotent	Global repression of differentiation
ICM/ES cells, EG cells,	Promoter hypomethylation
iPS cells	X inactivation;
Multipotent	Repression of lineage-specific
Adult stem cells	Promoter hypermethylation
(partially reprogrammed cells?)	
	A inactivation; Derepression of
Unipotent Differentiated cell	Polycomb silenced
types B cell Macrophage	Fibroblast Muscle lineage genes; Promoter hypermethylation

Konrad Hochedlinger and Kathrin Plath, Development 136, 509-523 (2009)

## **Epigenetic changes during in vivo reprogramming**



Global DNA and histone modifications that lead to transcriptional activation of the embryonic genome between the late zygote (paternal genome only) and the 2-cell stage.

**Protamines** are small, arginine-rich, nuclear proteins that replace histones late in the haploid phase of spermatogenesis and are believed essential for sperm head condensation and DNA stabilization. In humans, 10-15% of the sperm's genome is packaged by histones thought to bind genes that are essential for early embryonic development (www.wikipedia.org).

Gamete genomes undergo different epigenetic programs after fertilization.

The **paternal** genome is mostly subject to epigenetic remodeling at the zygote stage. The **maternal** genome gradually loses repressive modifications during the subsequent cleavage divisions.

Modeling Cell Fate

b	Epigenetic	changes	during germline	development
	E6.5	E10.5	E13.5	
H3K9me2				
H3K27me3				
Histone H1				
H3K9me3				
H3K9ac				
H2A/H4 R3me2s				
5mC				
5hmC				

Global epigenetic changes during germline development from PGC specification (E6.5) to the mitotic/meiotic arrest at E13.5.

Two major reprogramming phases can be distinguished during PGC migration toward the genital ridges (E7.5–E10.5) and upon their arrival into the gonads (E10.5–E12.5).

Cantone & Fisher, Nature Struct Mol Biol. 20, 292 (2013)