V16: involvement of microRNAs in GRNs

What are microRNAs?

How can one identify microRNAs?

What is the function of microRNAs?

diseases such as cancer and metabolic disorders\textsuperscript{3,4}. The number of miRNAs encoded by the genomes of the organisms that have been studied so far varies considerably from a handful to up to 500 in mammals\textsuperscript{1,2}. Computational predictions and genome-wide identification of miRNA targets estimate that each animal miRNA regulates hundreds of different mRNAs, suggesting that a remarkably large proportion of the transcriptome (about 50\% in humans) is subject to miRNA regulation\textsuperscript{1,2}.


Laird, Hum Mol Gen 14, R65 (2005)

Elisa Izaurralde, MPI Tübingen
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>short name</th>
<th>full name</th>
<th>function</th>
<th>oligomerization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mRNA, rRNA, tRNA,</td>
<td>you know them well ...</td>
<td>Single-stranded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snRNA</td>
<td>small nuclear RNA</td>
<td>splicing and other functions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snoRNA</td>
<td>small nucleolar RNA</td>
<td>nucleotide modification of RNAs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long ncRNA</td>
<td>Long noncoding RNA</td>
<td>various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>microRNA</td>
<td>gene regulation</td>
<td>single-stranded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA</td>
<td>small interfering RNA</td>
<td>gene regulation</td>
<td>double-stranded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RNA double-strand structure

RNA, like DNA, can form double helices held together by the pairing of complementary bases, and such helices are ubiquitous in functional RNAs.

In contrast to DNA, RNA forms an **A-form helix** with a radius of \(~1.2\) nm and a length increase per base pair of \(~2.8\) Å, \(~20\%\) wider and shorter than B-form dsDNA.

RNA secondary structure

Basic structural motifs of RNA secondary structure.

This RNA consists of five stems (labeled S1-S5) connected by loops (labeled according to loop type).
Structure of single-stranded RNA

Also single stranded RNA molecules frequently adopt a specific **tertiary structure**.

The scaffold for this structure is provided by secondary structural elements which are non-covalent **hydrogen bonds** within the molecule.

This leads to several recognizable structural "domain“ types of secondary structure such as **hairpin loops**, **bulges** and **internal loops**.

**RNA hairpin 2RLU**  

**Stem loop 1NZ1**

www.rcsb.org
RNA tertiary structure

3D structure of the VS ribozyme. This ribozyme (ribonucleic acid & enzyme) from the mitochondria of *Neurospora* performs self-cleavage during replication.

Shown is the catalytic domain (helices 2–6) of one protomer and the substrate-helix (helix 1) that belongs to another protomer.

The three-way helical junctions 2-3-6 and 3-4-5 organize the overall fold of the catalytic domain.

Yellow spheres : scissile phosphate.
Red sticks : catalytic nucleobases.
Junction 1-2-7 and accompanying helices 1 and 7 have been omitted for clarity.

snRNAs

Small nuclear RNA (snRNA) are found within the nucleus of eukaryotic cells.

They are transcribed by RNA polymerase II or RNA polymerase III and are involved in a variety of important processes such as
- RNA splicing,
- regulation of transcription factors or RNA polymerase II, and
- maintaining the telomeres.

snRNAs are always associated with specific proteins. The snRNA:protein complexes are referred to as small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNP) or sometimes as snurps.

5 small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) and approximately 50 different proteins make up the splicing machinery. The five snRNAs are essential splicing factors. Each snRNA is associated with several different proteins to make up five snRNP complexes, called U1, U2, U4, U5 and U6.
snoRNAs

A large **subgroup** of snRNAs are known as small nucleolar RNAs (**snoRNAs**).

These are small RNA molecules that play an essential role in **RNA biogenesis** and guide chemical modifications of rRNAs, tRNAs and snRNAs.

They are located in the nucleolus and the cajal bodies of eukaryotic cells.

**Predicted structure of hybrids between novel snoRNAs and target RNAs.**

Top: predicted snoRNA

Bottom: target small nuclear RNA (snRNA)

Kishore *et al*. *Genome Biology* 2013 **14**:R45

www.wikipedia.org
RNA interference

RNA interference may involve siRNAs or miRNAs.

**Nobel prize** in Physiology or Medicine **2006**
for their discovery of RNAi in *C. elegans* in 1998.
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siRNAs

Small interfering RNA (siRNA), sometimes known as short interfering RNA or silencing RNA, is a class of double-stranded RNA molecules, that are 20-25 nucleotides in length (often precisely 21 nt) and play a variety of roles in biology.

Most notably, siRNA is involved in the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway, where it interferes with the expression of a specific gene.

In addition to their role in the RNAi pathway, siRNAs also act in RNAi-related pathways, e.g., as an antiviral mechanism or in shaping the chromatin structure of a genome.
miRNAs

In contrast to double-stranded siRNA, microRNAs (miRNA) are single-stranded RNA molecules of 21-23 nucleotides in length.

miRNAs have a crucial role in regulating gene expression.

Remember: miRNAs are encoded by DNA but not translated into protein (non-coding RNA).
Overview of the miRNA network

RNA polymerase II (Pol II) produces a 500–3,000 nucleotide transcript, called the primary microRNA (pri-miRNA).

**pri-miRNA** is then cropped to form a **pre-miRNA** hairpin of ~60–100 nucleotides in length by a multi-protein complex that includes the protein **DROSHA**.

AA, poly A tail; m7G, 7-methylguanosine cap; ORF, open reading frame.
Overview of the miRNA network

This double-stranded pre-miRNA hairpin structure is exported from the nucleus by RAN GTPase and exportin 5 (XPO5).

Finally, the pre-miRNA is cleaved by the protein DICER1 to produce two miRNA strands:
- a mature miRNA sequence, approximately 20 nt in length,
- and its short-lived complementary sequence, which is denoted miR.
The overall structure of DROSHA is surprisingly similar to that of Dicer despite no sequence homology apart from the C-terminal part.

This suggests that DROSHA may have evolved from a Dicer homolog.
The RISC complex is then targeted by the miRNA to the target 3′ untranslated region of a mRNA sequence to facilitate repression and cleavage.

The main function of miRNAs is to down-regulate gene expression of their target mRNAs.
miRNAs

Mature miRNA molecules are partially complementary to one or more mRNA molecules.

Fig. shows the solution NMR-structure of
let-7 miRNA:lin-41 mRNA complex from C. elegans

miRNAs typically have incomplete base pairing to a target and inhibit the translation of many different mRNAs with similar sequences.

In contrast, siRNAs typically base-pair perfectly and induce mRNA cleavage only in a single, specific target.
The first two known microRNAs, lin-4 and let-7, were originally discovered in the nematode *C. elegans*. There, they control the timing of stem-cell division and differentiation.

let-7 was subsequently found as the first known human miRNA.

let-7 and its family members are **highly conserved** across species in sequence and function. Misregulation of let-7 leads to a less differentiated cellular state and the development of cell-based diseases such as cancer.

www.wikipedia.org
miRNA discovery

miRNA discovery approaches, both biological and bioinformatics, have now yielded many thousands of miRNAs.

This process continues with new miRNA appearing daily in various databases.

miRNA sequences and annotations are compiled in the online repository miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org/).

Each entry in the database represents a predicted hairpin portion of a miRNA transcript with information on the location and sequence of the mature miRNA sequence

miRNAs recognize targets by Watson-Crick base pairing

(a) **Plant miRNAs** recognize **fully** or nearly complementary binding sites.

(b) **Animal miRNAs** recognize **partially complementary** binding sites which are generally located in 3’ UTRs of mRNA.

Complementarity to the 5’ end of the miRNA – the “seed” sequence containing nucleotides 2-7 – is a major determinant in target recognition and is sufficient to trigger silencing.


\[
4^6 = (2^2)^6 = 2^{12} = 4096 \text{k-mers of length 6}
\]

On average, the 3’-UTR in humans is ca. 800 nt long (www.wikipedia.org)

\[
20.000 \text{ genes} \times 800 \text{ nt} / 4096 \text{ 6-mers} = 4000 \text{ binding sites for 1 miRNA 6-mer}
\]
Bioinformatics prediction of miRNAs

With bioinformatics methods, putative miRNAs are first predicted in genome sequences based on the **structural features** of miRNA.

These algorithms essentially identify hairpin structures in non-coding and non-repetitive regions of the genome that are characteristic of miRNA precursor sequences.

The candidate miRNAs are then filtered by their **evolutionary conservation** in different species.

Known miRNA precursors play important roles in searching algorithms because structures of known miRNA are used to train the learning processes to discriminate between true predictions and false positives.

Many algorithms exist such as miRScan, miRSeeker, miRank, miRDeep, miRDeep2 and miRanalyzer.
Recognition of miRNA targets

There seem to be two classes of binding patterns.

One class of miRNA target sites has **perfect Watson–Crick complementarity** to the 5’-end of the miRNAs, referred to as ‘**seed region**’, which includes positions 2–7 of miRNAs.

When bound in this way, miRNAs suppress their targets without requiring significant further base pairings at the 3’-end of the miRNAs.

The second class of target sites has **imperfect complementary base pairing** at the 5’-end of the miRNAs, but it is compensated via **additional base pairings** in the 3’-end of the miRNAs.

The multiple-to-multiple relations between miRNAs and mRNAs lead to complex miRNA regulatory mechanisms.
### miRNA-target prediction algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Regions scanned</th>
<th>Species conservation</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Brief description of the prediction method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miRanda</td>
<td>3’-UTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Human, mouse, rat, fly and worm</td>
<td>Predict targets based on rules: (i) sequence complementarity, (ii) binding energy and (iii) evolutionary conservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mirSVR</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Human, mouse, rat, fly and worm</td>
<td>To score and rank miRanda-predicted miRNA-target sites with a supervised vector regression (SVR) model for features including secondary structure accessibility of the site and conservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PicTar</td>
<td>3’-UTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Vertebrates, fly and worm</td>
<td>Filter alignments according to the thermodynamic stability, then score and rank the predicted target by hidden Markov model maximum-likelihood fit approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TargetScan</td>
<td>8mer and 7mer sites, and open reading frames</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Human, mouse, rate, dog and chicken</td>
<td>Predict targets by searching for the presence of conserved 8mer and 7mer sites that match the seed region. Predictions are ranked by a combinatorial score based on site number, site type and site context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TargetScanS</td>
<td>3’-UTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Human, mouse, rate, dog and chicken</td>
<td>Predict targets that have a conserved 6 nt seed match flanked by either a m8 match or a tIA anchor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA22</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Use the patterns discovered from the known mature miRNAs for predicting candidate miRNA-target sites in a sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITA</td>
<td>3’-UTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Human, mouse, worm and fly</td>
<td>Predict miRNA targets using a non-parameter model that computes the difference between the free energy gained from the formation of the miRNA-target duplex and the energetic cost of unpairing the target to make it accessible to the miRNA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAhybrid</td>
<td>3’-UTR and coding sequence</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>A tool to identify mRNA secondary structure and energetically favourable hybridization between miRNA and target mRNA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIANA-microT</td>
<td>3’-UTR and CDS</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>Human and mouse</td>
<td>The fifth version of microT algorithm which is specifically trained on a positive and negative set of miRNA recognition elements located in both the 3’-UTR and CDS region. The conserved and non-conserved miRNA recognition elements are combined into a final prediction score.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Predicting miRNA function based on target genes

The most straight-forward approach for miRNA functional annotation is through **functional enrichment analysis** using the miRNA-target genes.

This approach assumes that miRNAs have similar functions as their target genes.
miRNA functional annotation heavily relies on the miRNA-target prediction.

In the last few years, many studies have been conducted to infer the miRNA regulatory mechanisms by incorporating target prediction with other genomics data, such as the expression profiles of miRNAs and mRNAs.
Discovering MRM

A MRM (group of co-expressed miRNAs and mRNAs) may be defined as a special bipartite graph, named biclique, where two sets of nodes are connected by edges.

Every node of the first set representing miRNA is connected to every node of the second set representing mRNAs.

The weights of edges correspond to the miRNA–mRNA binding strength are inferred from target prediction algorithms.

Most of the integrative methods for MRM discovery are based on the assumption that miRNAs negatively regulate their target mRNAs so that the expression of a specific miRNA and its targets should be anti-correlated.
miRNA-mRNA network

A MRM identified from analysis of schizophrenia patients. It shows that miRNAs may up/down regulate their target mRNAs, either directly or indirectly.

Up-regulated miRNAs are coloured in red and down-regulated miRNAs are coloured in green.

Up-regulated mRNAs are coloured in yellow, while down-regulated mRNAs are coloured in blue.
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Bioinformatics III

Transcription factor and microRNA co-regulatory loops: important regulatory motifs in biological processes and diseases

Hong-Mei Zhang, Shuzhen Kuang, Xushen Xiong, Tianliuyun Gao, Chenglin Liu and An-Yuan Guo

Key Points

- TFs and miRNAs can jointly regulate gene expression in the forms of FFLs and FBLs, which influence many aspects of normal cells and diseases.
- FFLs and FBLs can be classified into different types based on the master regulator or the regulation effects of two paths on target. Different types of loops have different mechanisms in gene regulation.
- The identification of TF and miRNA targets is a key step for detecting FFLs and FBLs. It is better to combine the experimentally verified targets with predicted targets by different methods.
- FFLs and FBLs are popular regulatory models and critical for biological processes and diseases. FFL has a specific function in noise buffering effect. It can minimize the cell response to stochastic signaling noise and maintain steady-state levels of targets. FBL can act as a toggle switch between two different fates in cell differentiation.

FFL: feed-forward loop

FBL: feedback loop
(a) : FFL types

TF-FFL

miRNA-FFL

composite FFL

(b) : Coherent FFLs

(c) : Incoherent FFLs

(d) : FBL types

signal negative
double negative

Figure 1: FFL and FBL types. (a) Three types of FFLs classified by the master regulator. Blunt arrows with dot end represent transcriptional activation or repression. (b) Coherent FFLs. In this kind of FFLs, two paths that regulate target gene have the same effects (either activation or repression). (c) Incoherent FFLs. The target gene is regulated by two opposite paths. (d) FBL types. Nodes: triangles are TFs; rectangles are miRNAs; ovals are genes. Edges: sharp arrow means activation; T-shaped arrow represents repression.
Identification of TF, miRNA and gene relations

Verified

TRANSFAC, CHEA, Factorbook.org

Predicted

TFBS prediction, UCSC etc.

Verified

miR2Disease, mirTarBase, MiRecords and TarBase

Predicted

TargetScan, miRanda etc.

TF→Gene/TF→miRNA

miRNA→Gene/miRNA→TF

Detection of FFLs and FBLs

Visualization with Cytoscape
Figure 3: A schematic model for TF-miRNA co-regulatory network in cell proliferation. The E2F family and three miRNA clusters form several composite FFLs with CDK inhibitors and pocket proteins. They corporately control the progression of the cell cycle. The oncogene c-Myc can promote cell cycle progress through directly activating the E2F family and miRNA clusters, while the tumor repressor p53 represses E2Fs activity in an indirect way. The meanings of sharp arrows and T-shaped solid arrows are same as Figure 1. T-shaped dotted arrow indicates the indirect repression of p53 to E2Fs. This figure is drawn based on two previous articles [55,56].
Figure 4: FFLs and FBLs in cell differentiation. Orange ovals are TFs; green ovals are miRNAs; light blue ovals are upstream signals. Dotted line means the activation or repression is inactive; dotted oval means the gene or miRNA is repressed or in a low expression. (a) The FBL between TFs ZEB1/SIP1 and miR-200 family in EMT. In epithelial cells, ZEB1 and SIP1 are repressed by miR-200 family. EMT is induced when ZEB1 and SIP1 are activated by the TGFβ signal and miR-200 family is repressed. (b) The FBLs in skeletal myogenesis. The high expression of TF YY1 activated by NF-κB signal maintains the undifferentiated states of myoblast cells. At the onset of myogenesis, the down-regulation of the NF-κB-YY1 pathway leads to an upregulation of miR-1 and miR-29, which ensures myoblast cells properly differentiate into myotubes.
**Figure 5:** FFLs and FBLs in diseases. (a) The FBL in granulocytic differentiation and myeloid cell proliferation. In the undifferentiated cells, TF NFI-A maintains the miR-223 at low level. The TF C/EBPα is activated by retinoic acid and upregulates miR-223 expression, which in turn represses TFs NFI-A and E2F1, resulting in inhibition of cell cycle and advance of granulocytic differentiation (left). C/EBPα is deregulated in AML and overexpressed E2F1 inhibits miR-223 transcription, thus promoting myeloid cell proliferation and blocking granulocytic differentiation (right).

(c) The predicted FFLs in breast cancer. (d) A predicted FFL in glioblastoma. (e) A FFL in schizophrenia. TF EGR3 activates the transcription of miR-195, and in turn miR-195 indirectly reduces the expression of EGR3 by repressing gene BDNF.
TFmiR

TFmiR web user interface

Regulatory databases

- **TF → gene**
  - TRANSFAC
  - OregAnno
  - TRED

- **miRNA → gene**
  - miRTarBase
  - TarBase
  - miRecords
  - starBase

- **TF → miRNA**
  - TransmiR
  - PMID20584335
  - ChIPBase

- **miRNA → miRNA**
  - PmmR
  - Experimental
  - Predicted

**ORA analysis**

- DAVID
- GO, KEGG, OMIM

**Network analysis**

- Topological features
- Network visualization
- Key nodes / hot spot identification
- Significance and coverage rate of disease

For each interaction type

- Venn diagram
- Basic statistics
- ORA analysis of genes and miRNAs (if any)
- Significance of overlaps with the related database

TF-gene → gene → TF-miRNA → miRNA-miRNA

Combine all TF and miRNA co-regulatory interactions

Disease-specific network → Disease-related genes and miRNAs

TF-miRNA co-regulatory motifs

Functional similarity of co-targeted genes
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Significance of FFL motifs

Compare how often FFL motifs appear in the real network to the number of times they appear in randomized ensembles preserving the same node degrees.

Use degree preserving randomization algorithm.

For $2 \times L$ steps, two edges $e_1 = (v_1, v_2)$ and $e_2 = (v_3, v_4)$ are randomly chosen from the network and rewired such that the start and end nodes are swapped, i.e. $e_3 = (v_1, v_4)$ and $e_4 = (v_3, v_2)$ if $\{e_3, e_4\} \in V$.

Construct 100 random networks. Compare motif frequencies to the real network. The $P$-value is calculated as

$$P\text{-value} = \frac{N_h}{N_r}$$

where $N_h$ is the number of random times that a certain motif type is acquired more than or equal to its number in the real network, and $N_r$ is 100.
We identified 53 significantly enriched FFL motifs in breast cancer GRN:
- 3 composite FFLs,
- 2 TF-FFLs,
- 6 miRNA-FFLs
- 42 coreg-FFLs).

Enriched motifs

Below: interesting motif involving the TF SPI1, the miRNA hsa-mir-155 and the target gene FLI1.
Recent studies reported that the oncogene SPI1 is involved in tumor progression and metastasis. The postulated co-regulation of the oncogene FLI1 by both SPI1 and the oncomiR hsa-mir-155 is novel.
How many iterations are needed to randomize network?

Measure **similarity** of original network and randomized network (1) as the fraction of the number of **common edges** between the original and a particular randomized network, \( \langle Sim \rangle \) is its average in all randomized networks, and \(|E|\) is the total number of edges in the original network.

Same breast cancer network as on previous slide.

Conserving method: allows only switches of edges of same type (TF-> gene, miR -> gene, TF -> miR etc.)

\[
\text{Similarity} = \frac{\langle Sim \rangle}{|E|}
\]

\( Q \times |E| \) edge swaps

Sadegh et al. (2017)
J. Integr. Bioinf. 14, 20170017
How many iterations are needed to randomize network?

Measure **similarity** of original network and randomized network by (2) convergence of **subgraph counts** during randomization.

Same breast cancer network as on previous slide.

Both randomization strategies achieve converged subgraph counts. The conserving method maintains a similar number of subgraph counts as the original network, which may be a desirable feature.

Q = 2 – 3 achieves good randomization.

---

Sadegh et al. (2017)
J. Integr. Bioinf. 14, 20170017
Topology consistency

(Top) Differential expression analysis for BRCA data from TCGA
-> very different results from 4 DE methods (edgeR, vst, DESeq, voom)

(Bottom) Overlapping nodes in differential co-regulatory network obtained by TFmiR
Topology consistency

Percentage overlap of hubs, MDS and MCDS in the DESeq network with the other 3 (edgeR (blue), voom (red) and VST (green)) networks for the BRCA dataset.

To estimate significance of results: boxplots show the overlap of the 3 mentioned topological features of DESeq with 100 disease-specific networks derived of 11000 and 14000 randomly genes that were selected genes from the LIHC and BRCA datasets, respectively.

Although different DE methods identified quite different sets of DE genes, topologies of the derived co-regulatory networks were highly consistent with respect to hub-degree nodes and MDS and MCDS (70-90%). This suggests that key genes identified in regulatory networks derived from DE genes are a robust basis for understanding diseases processes.
The discovery of microRNAs has led to an additional layer of complexity in understanding cellular networks.

Prediction of miRNA-mRNA networks is challenging due to the often non-perfect base matching of miRNAs to their targets.

Individual SNPs may alter network properties, and may be associated with cancerogenesis.

miRNAs can be exploited as sensitive biomarkers.

miRNAs are becoming important elements of GRNs

-> new hierarchical layer, novel types of network motifs …

Bioinformaticians do not run out of work 😊
Additional slides (not used)
Action of let7

*Let*-7 directly down-regulates the expression of the **oncogene RAS** in human cells.

All the three RAS genes in human, *K*-*, N*-*, and *H*-, have the predicted *let*-7 binding sequences in their 3'UTRs.

In lung cancer patient samples, expression of RAS and *let*-7 is anticorrelated. Cancerous cells have low *let*-7 and high RAS, normal cells have high *let*-7 and low RAS.

Another oncogene, **high mobility group A2 (HMGA2)**, has also been identified as a target of *let*-7.

*Let*-7 directly inhibits HMGA2 by binding to its 3'UTR. Removal of the *let*-7 binding site by 3'UTR deletion causes overexpression of HMGA2 and formation of tumor.

**MYC** is also considered as a oncogenic target of *let*-7.
Mechanism of miRNA-mediated gene silencing

mRNAs are **competent for translation** if they possess a **5’cap structure** and a **3’-poly(A) tail**.

miRNAs could, in principle, either work by **translational repression** or by **target degradation**.

This has not been fully answered yet.

**Current view**: degradation of target mRNA by miRNA dominates.

Mechanism of miRNA-mediated gene silencing

(a) The mRNA target is presented in a closed-loop conformation.

\[ \text{eIF: eukaryotic translation initiation factor} \]
\[ \text{PABPC: poly(A)-binding protein} \]

(b) Animal miRNAs bound to the argonaute protein AGO and to a GW182 protein recognize their mRNA targets by base-pairing to partially complementary binding sites.

Mechanism of miRNA-mediated gene silencing

(c) The AGO-GW182 complex targets the mRNA to **deadenylation** by the deadenylation protein complex CCR4-CAF1-NOT.

(e) The mRNA is decapped by the protein DCP2 and then degraded by XRN1 in step (f).

Alternatively (d), the deadenylated mRNA remains silenced.

Huntzinger, Izaurralde, Nat. Rev. Genet. 1
SNPs in miRNA may lead to diseases

miRNAs can have dual oncogenic and tumor suppressive roles in cancer depending on the cell type and pattern of gene expression.

Approximately 50% of all annotated human miRNA genes are located in fragile sites or areas of the genome that are associated with cancer.

→ Mutations in miRNAs or their binding sites may lead to diseases.

E.g. Abelson et al. found that a mutation in the miR-189 binding site of the gene SLITRK1 was associated with Tourette’s syndrome.

SNPs in miRNA genes are thought to affect function in one of three ways:
(1) by affecting the transcription of the primary miRNA transcript;
(2) by affecting the processing of pri-miRNA or pre-miRNA processing; and
(3) through effects on miRNA–mRNA interactions
SNPs in pri-miRNA and pre-miRNA sequences

SNPs can occur in the pri-miRNA and pre-miRNA strands.

Then they are likely to affect miRNA processing and, thus, levels of mature miRNA.

Such SNPs can lead to either an increase or decrease in processing.
SNPs in mature microRNAs (miRNAs) within the seed sequence can strengthen or reduce binding between the miRNA and its mRNA target.

Moreover, such SNPs can create or destroy target binding sites, as is the case for mir-146a*. 

SNPs in miRNA seed and regulatory regions
SNPs located within the 3’ untranslated region of miRNA binding sites function analogously to seed region SNPs and modulate the miRNA–mRNA interaction.

They can create or destroy miRNA binding sites and affect subsequent mRNA translation.
SNPs in miRNA processing machinery

SNPs can also occur within the processing machinery.

These SNPs are likely to affect the microRNAome (miRNAome) as a whole, possibly leading to the overall suppression of miRNA output.

In addition, SNPs in cofactors of miRNA processing, such as p53, may indirectly affect miRNA maturation.