#### V14 Graph connectivity – Metabolic networks

In the first half of this lecture section, we use the theory of network flows to give constructive proofs of Menger's theorem.

These proofs lead directly to algorithms for determining the edge-connectivity and vertex-connectivity of a graph.

The strategy to prove Menger's theorems is based on properties of certain **networks** whose arcs all have **unit capacity**.

These **0-1 networks** are constructed from the original graph.

#### **Determining the connectivity of a graph**

Lemma 12.3.1. Let *N* be an *s*-*t* network such that

outdegree(s) > indegree(s),
indegree(t) > outdegree (t), and
outdegree(v) = indegree(v) for all other vertices v.

Then, there exists a directed *s*-*t* path in network *N*.

<u>Proof</u>. Let *W* be a longest directed trail (trail = walk without repeated edges; path = trail without repeated vertices) in network *N* that starts at source *s*, and let *z* be its terminal vertex.

If vertex *z* were not the sink *t*, then there would be an arc not in trail *W* that is directed from *z* (since *indegree(z) = outdegree(z)*).

But this would contradict the maximality of trail *W*.

Thus, W is a directed trail from source s to sink t.

If W has a repeated vertex, then a part of W determines a directed cycle, which can be deleted from W to obtain a shorter directed *s*-*t* trail.

This deletion step can be repeated until no repeated vertices remain, at which point, the resulting directed trail is an *s*-*t* path.  $\Box$ 

#### **Determining the connectivity of a graph**

Proposition 12.3.2. Let *N* be an *s*-*t* network such that

outdegree(s) - indegree(s) = m = indegree(t) - outdegree(t),

and *outdegree*(v) = *indegree*(v) for all vertices  $v \neq s,t$ .

Then, there exist *m* disjoint directed *s*-*t* path in network *N*.

<u>Proof</u>. If m = 1, then there exists an open eulerian directed trail *T* from source *s* to sink *t* by Theorem 6.1.3.

Review: An eulerian trail in a graph is a trail that visits every edge of that graph exactly once.

Theorem 6.1.3. A connected digraph *D* has an open eulerian trail from vertex *x* to vertex *y* if and only if indegree(x) + 1 = outdegree(x), indegree(y) = outdegree(y) + 1, and all vertices except *x* and *y* have equal indegree and outdegree.

Euler proved that a necessary condition for the existence of Eulerian circuits is that all vertices in the graph have an even degree.

Theorem 1.5.2. Every open *x*-*y* walk *W* is either an *x*-*y* path or can be reduced to an *x*-*y* path.

Therefore, trail *T* is either an *s*-*t* directed path or can be reduced to an *s*-*t* path.

#### **Determining the connectivity of a graph**

By way of induction, assume that the assertion is true for m = k, for some  $k \ge 1$ , and consider a network *N* for which the condition holds for m = k + 1. There does exist at least one directed *s*-*t* path *P* by Lemma 12.3.1.

If the arcs of path *P* are deleted from network *N*, then the resulting network *N* - *P* satisfies the condition of the proposition for m = k.

By the induction hypothesis, there exist *k* arc-disjoint directed *s*-*t* paths in network N - P. These *k* paths together with path *P* form a collection of k + 1 arc-disjoint directed *s*-*t* paths in network *N*.

#### **Basic properties of 0-1 networks**

<u>Definition</u> A **0-1 network** is a capacitated network whose arc capacities are either 0 or 1.

<u>Proposition 12.3.3.</u> Let *N* be an *s*-*t* network such that cap(e) = 1 for every arc *e*. Then the value of a maximum flow in network *N* equals the maximum number of arc-disjoint directed *s*-*t* paths in *N*.

<u>Proof</u>: Let *f*\* be a maximum flow in network *N*, and let *r* be the maximum number of arc-disjoint directed *s*-*t* paths in *N*.

Consider the network  $N^*$  obtained by deleting from N all arcs e for which  $f^*(e) = 0$ . Then  $f^*(e) = 1$  for all arcs e in network  $N^*$ .

It follows from the definition that for every vertex v in network  $N^*$ ,

$$\sum_{e \in Out(v)} f^*(e) = |Out(v)| = outdegree(v)$$

and

$$\sum_{\theta \in In(\nu)} f^*(\theta) = |In(\nu)| = indegree(\nu)$$

#### **Basic properties of 0-1 networks**

Thus by the definition of  $val(f^*)$  and by the conservation-of-flow property,

and  $outdegree(s) - indegree(s) = val(f^*) = indegree(t) - outdegree(t)$ and outdegree(v) = indegree(v), for all vertices  $v \neq s, t$ .

By Proposition 12.3.2., there are  $val(f^*)$  arc-disjoint *s*-*t* paths in network  $N^*$ , and hence, also in *N*, which implies that  $val(f^*) \le r$ .

To obtain the reverse inequality, let  $\{P_1, P_2, ..., P_r\}$  be the largest collection of arcdisjoint directed *s*-*t* paths in *N*, and consider the function *f*:  $E_N \rightarrow R^+$  defined by

$$f(\boldsymbol{e}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if some path } P_i \text{ uses arc } \boldsymbol{e} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then *f* is a feasible flow in network *N*, with val(f) = r. It follows that  $val(f^*) \ge r$ .  $\Box$ 

## **Separating Sets and Cuts**

Review from § 5.3

Let *s* and *t* be distinct vertices in a graph *G*. An *s*-*t* **separating edge set** in *G* is a set of edges whose removal destroys all *s*-*t* paths in *G*.

Thus, an *s*-*t* separating edge set in *G* is an edge subset of  $E_G$  that contains at least one edge of every *s*-*t* path in *G*.

<u>Definition</u>: Let *s* and *t* be distinct vertices in a digraph *D*. An *s*-*t* **separating arc set** in *D* is a set of arcs whose removal destroys all directed *s*-*t* paths in *D*.

Thus, an *s*-*t* separating arc set in *D* is an arc subset of  $E_D$  that contains at least one arc of every directed *s*-*t* path in digraph *D*.

<u>Remark</u>: For the degenerate case in which the original graph or digraph has no *s*-*t* paths, the empty set is regarded as an *s*-*t* separating set.

#### **Separating Sets and Cuts**

<u>Proposition 12.3.4</u> Let *N* be an *s*-*t* network such that cap(e) = 1 for every arc *e*. Then the capacity of a minimum *s*-*t* cut in network *N* equals the minimum number of arcs in an *s*-*t* separating arc set in *N*.

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $K^* = \langle V_s, V_t \rangle$  be a minimum *s*-*t* cut in network *N*, and let *q* be the minimum number of arcs in an *s*-*t* separating arc set in *N*. Since  $K^*$  is an *s*-*t* cut, it is also an *s*-*t* separating arc set. Thus  $cap(K^*) \ge q$ .

To obtain the reverse inequality, let *S* be an *s*-*t* separating arc set in network *N* containing *q* arcs, and let *R* be the set of all vertices in *N* that are reachable from source *s* by a directed path that contains no arc from set *S*.

Then, by the definitions of arc set *S* and vertex set *R*,  $t \notin R$ , which means that  $\langle R, V_N - R \rangle$  is an *s*-*t* cut.

Moreover,  $\langle R, V_N - R \rangle \subseteq S$ . Therefore

#### **Separating Sets and Cuts**

 $cap(K^*) \le cap\langle R, V_n - R \rangle \quad \text{since } K^* \text{ is a minimum } s - t \text{ cut}$  $= |\langle R, V_n - R \rangle| \quad \text{since all capacities are 1}$  $\le |S| \quad \text{since } \langle R, V_n - R \rangle \subseteq S$ = q

which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### Arc and Edge Versions of Menger's Theorem Revisited

<u>Theorem 12.3.5</u> [Arc form of Menger's theorem] Let *s* and *t* be distinct vertices in a digraph *D*. Then the maximum number of arcdisjoint directed *s*-*t* paths in *D* is equal to the minimum number of arcs in an *s*-*t* separating set of *D*.

<u>Proof:</u> Let *N* be the *s*-*t* network obtained by assigning a unit capacity to each arc of digraph *D*. Then the result follows from Propositions 12.3.3. and 12.3.4., together with the max-flow min-cut theorem.  $\Box$ 

<u>Theorem 12.2.4 [Max-Flow Min-Cut]</u> For a given network, the value of a maximum flow is equal to the capacity of a minimum cut.

<u>Proposition 12.3.3.</u> Let *N* be an *s*-*t* network such that cap(e) = 1 for every arc *e*. Then the value of a maximum flow in network *N* equals the maximum number of arc-disjoint directed *s*-*t* paths in *N*.

<u>Proposition 12.3.4</u> Let *N* be an *s*-*t* network such that cap(e) = 1 for every arc *e*. Then the capacity of a minimum *s*-*t* cut in network *N* equals the minimum number of arcs in an *s*-*t* separating arc set in *N*.

#### **Metabolic Networks - Introduction**

There exist different levels of computational methods for describing metabolic networks:


- stoichiometry/kinetics of classical biochemical pathways (glycolysis, TCA cycle, ...

- stoichiometric modelling (**flux balance analysis**): theoretical capabilities of an integrated cellular process, feasible metabolic flux distributions


- automatic decomposition of metabolic networks (elementary nodes, extreme pathways ...)


- kinetic modelling of coupled cellular pathways (E-Cell ...)
 General problem: lack of kinetic information
 on the dynamics and regulation of cellular metabolism

## KEGG database




#### Protein-protein interactions





#### Gene expression relations




#### The KEGG PATHWAY

database (http://www.genome.

jp/kegg/pathway.html) is a collection of graphical diagrams (KEGG pathway maps) representing molecular interaction networks in various cellular processes. Each reference pathway is manually drawn and updated with the notation shown left.

Organism-specific pathways (green-colored pathways) are computationally generated based on the  $\underline{KO}$  assignment in individual genomes.

# Citrate Cycle (TCA cycle) in E.coli




00020 3/19/04

# **Citrate Cycle (TCA cycle) in different organisms**

#### Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) - Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655

Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) - Helicobacter pylori 26695



#### Green/red: enzyme annotated in this organism

#### **EcoCyc Database**


E.coli genome contains 4.7 million DNA bases.

How can we characterize the functional complement of *E.coli* and according to what criteria can we compare the biochemical networks of two organisms?

EcoCyc contains the metabolic map of *E.coli* defined as the set of all known pathways, reactions and enzymes of *E.coli* small-molecule metabolism.

#### Analyze

- the connectivity relationships of the metabolic network
- its partitioning into pathways
- enzyme activation and inhibition
- repetition and multiplicity of elements such as enzymes, reactions, and substrates.




# **Glycolysis in E.coli**

Blue arrows: biochemical reactions clicking on arrow shows responsible enzyme

+ and - : activation and inhibition of enzymes

www.ecocyc.org

## **Regulation of Glycolysis in E.coli**



Boxed genes on the left are enzymes of glycolysis pathway

pgi: phosphoglucose isomerase pgk: phosphoglycerate kinase pfk: 6-phosphofructo kinase ...


Circled FruR, CRP etc. on the right : transcription factors

Green pointed arrows: activation of transcription;

Violet blunt arrow : repression;

Brown circle-ended arrow indicates that the factor can activate or repress, depending on circumstances.

www.ecocyc.org



#### **Pentose Phosphate pathway**

Blue arrows: biochemical reactions clicking on arrow shows responsible enzyme


+ and - : activation and inhibition of enzymes

www.ecocyc.org


14. Lecture WS 2013/14

**Bioinformatics III** 


#### **Regulation of Pentose Phosphate Pathway**



14. Lecture WS 2013/14



#### **Regulation of TCA cycle**




#### EcoCyc Analysis of *E.coli* Metabolism

In 2000, *E.coli* genome contained 4391 predicted genes, of which 4288 coded for proteins (4503 genes in Dec. 2011, 209 RNAs).

676 of these genes form 607 enzymes of the *E.coli* small-molecule metabolism.

Of those enzymes, 311 are protein complexes, 296 are monomers.

Organization of protein complexes. Distribution of subunit counts for all EcoCyc protein complexes. The predominance of monomers, dimers, and tetramers is obvious



#### Reactions

EcoCyc describes 905 metabolic reactions that are catalyzed by *E. coli.* (1991 in Dec. 2011)

Of these reactions, 161 are not involved in small-molecule metabolism, e.g. they participate in macromolecule metabolism such as DNA replication and tRNA charging.

Of the remaining 744 reactions, 569 have been assigned to at least one pathway.

#### **Reactions**

The number of reactions (744) and the number of enzymes (607) differ ... WHY??

(1) there is no one-to-one mapping between enzymes and reactions – some enzymes catalyze multiple reactions, and some reactions are catalyzed by multiple enzymes.


(2) for some reactions known to be catalyzed by *E.coli*, the enzyme has not yet been identified.

#### Compounds

The 744 reactions of *E.coli* small-molecule metabolism involve a total of 791 different substrates.

On average, each reaction contains 4.0 substrates, (think of A + B <-> C + D)

Number of reactions containing varying numbers of substrates (reactants plus products).



## Compounds

#### Each distinct substrate occurs in an average of 2.1 reactions.

Table 1. Most Frequently Used Metabolites in E. coli Central Metabolism					
Occurrence	Name of metabolite				
205	H <sub>2</sub> O				
152	ATP				
101	ADP				
100	phosphate				
89	pyrophosphate NAD				
66					
60	NADH				
54	CO <sub>2</sub>				
53	H+				
49	AMP				
48	NH <sub>3</sub>				
48	NADP				
45	NADPH				
44	Coenzyme A				
43	L-glutamate				
41	pyruvate				
29	acetyl-CoA				
26	02				
24	2-oxoglutarate				
23	S-adenosyl-L-methionine				
18	5-adenosyl-homocysteine				
16	L-aspartate				
16	L-glutamine				
15	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>				

15	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
14	glucose
13	glyceraldehy de-3-phosphate
13	THE
13	acetate
12	PRPP
12	[acyl carrier protein]
12	oxaloacetic acid
11	dihydroxy-acetone-phosphate
11	GDP
11	glucose-1-phosphate
11	ŬMP ' '
10	e-
10	phosphoenolpyruvate
10	acceptor
10	reduced acceptor
10	GTP
10	L-serine
10	fructose-6-phosphate
9	L-cysteine
9	reduced thioredoxin
9	oxidized thioredoxin
9	reduced glutathione
8	acyl-ACP
8	L-glycine
8	GMP
8	formate


Metabolites were used either as reactants or products.

## **Pathways**

EcoCyc describes 131 pathways (347 in Dec. 2011): energy metabolism nucleotide and amino acid biosynthesis secondary metabolism

Length distribution of EcoCyc pathways

Pathways vary in length from a single reaction step to 16 steps with an average of 5.4 steps.



However, there is no precise biological definition of a pathway.

The partitioning of the metabolic network into pathways (including the well-known examples of biochemical pathways) is somehow arbitrary.

These decisions of course also affect the distribution of pathway lengths.

#### **Pathways**

#### Table 2. List of All Known 2. cold Metabolic Pathways as Described by EcoCyc

(Dexxy)ribose phosphate metabolism 3-Phenylpropionate and 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propionate degradation. KDO biosynthesis 4-Aminobutyrate degradation Aerobic electron transfer Aerobic respiration, electron cloners reaction list Alamine bicoverthesis Anaerobic electron transfer Anaerobic respiration Anserobic respiration, electron acceptors reaction list Anaerobic respiration, electron donors reaction list Arginine biosynthesis Asparagine biosynthesis and degradation Aspartate biosynthesis and degradation Betaine bicoynthesis Biosynthesis of proto- and siroheme Biotin biosynthesis Carnitine metabolism Carnitine metabolism, CoA-linked Cobalamin biosynthesis Colarric acid biosynthesis Cyanate catabolism Cysteine bi coynthesis D-arabinose catabolism D-galactarate catabolism D-galacturonate catabolism D-Glucarate catabolism D-glucuronate catabolism Degradation of short-chain fatty acids Decxypyrimidine nucleotide/side metabolism Decxyribonudeotide metabolism dTDP-rhamnose bicsynthesis Enterobacterial common antigen bioxynthesis Enterobactin synthesis Entrier-Doudoroff pathway Fatty acid biosymbeais, initial ateps Fatty acid eloncation, saturated Fatty acid elongation, unsaturated Fatty acid oxidation pathway Fermentation Folic acid biosynthesis FormyITHF bioxynthesis Fucción catabolism Galactitol catabolism Galactoriate catabolism Galactose metabolism Galactose, galactoside and glucose catabolism Gluconeogenesis Glucosamine catabolism Glucose 1-phosphate metabolism Glutamate biosynthesis Glutamate utilization Glutamine bicsynthesis Glutamine utilization Glutathione biosynthesis Glutathione-glutaredoxin redox reactions Glycerol metabolism Glycine bicsynthesis Glycine cleavage Glycagen biosynthesis Glycogen catabolism Glycolate metabolism Glycolysis Glycxylate cycle Glyoxylate degradation Histidine biosynthesis Histicine degradation

bol excine bi coy nthesis L-alamine degradation L-anabinose catabolism L-cysteine catabolism L-lyxose metabolism L-serine degradation Lactose degradation Leucine biowynthesis Lipid A precursor biosynthesis lysine and diaminopimelate biosynthesis Mannitol decradation Mannose and GDP-mannose metabolism Mannose catabolism Menaguinone biosynthesis Methionine biosynthesis Methyl-cloner molecule biosynthesis Methýlglyoxal metabolism NAD phosphorylation and dephosphorylation Noncoidative branch of the pentose phosphate pathway Nucleotide metabolism O-antigen bicsynthesis Oxidative branch of the pentose phosphate pathway Pantothenate and coleraryme A biosynthesis Peptidoglycan biosynthesis Phenylal anime biosynthesis Phenylethylamine degradation Phosphatidic acid synthesis Phospholipid biosynthesis Polyamine biosynthesis Polyteoprenoid bicogenthesis ppGpp metabolism Proline bicsynthesis Proline utilization Propionate metaboliam, methylmalonyl pathway Purine biosynthesis Pyridine nucleotide cycling Pyridine nucleotide synthesis Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate biosynthesis Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate salvage pathway Pyrimidine bioxynthesis Pyrimicline ribonucleoticle/ribonucleosicle metabolism Pyruvate dehydrogenese Pyruvate exiciation pathway Removal of superoxide radicals Rhammose catabolism Riboflavin, RMN and RAD biosynthesis Ribose catabolism Serine biosynthesis Sorbitol degradation Sulfate assimilation pathway TCA cycle, aerobic respiration Thiamine biosynthesis Thioredoxin pathway Threamine bicoverthesis Threenine catabolism Trehalose bicsynthesis Trehalose degradation, low carnolarity Tryptophan Eilowintheats Tryptophan utilization Tyrosine bicsynthesis Ubiguinane bicoynthesis UDP-N-acetylgluccoamine bicoynthesis Valine bicovrithesis Xylose catabolism

Ouzonis, Karp, Genome Res. 10, 568 (2000)

The reactions and enzymes within each pathway can be determined using the EcoCyc WWW server that is available at https://ecocyc.DoubleTwist.com/ecocy.a/.

#### **Enzyme Modulation**

An enzymatic reaction is a type of EcoCyc object that represents the pairing of an enzyme with a reaction catalyzed by that enzyme.

EcoCyc contains extensive information on the modulation of *E.coli* enzymes with respect to particular reactions:

- activators and inhibitors of the enzyme,
- cofactors required by the enzyme
- alternative substrates that the enzyme will accept.

Of the 805 enzymatic-reaction objects within EcoCyc, physiologically relevant activators are known for 22, physiologically relevant inhibitors are known for 80.

327 (almost half) require a cofactor or prosthetic group.


## **Enzyme Modulation**

A. Modulators (activators and inhibitors)			B. Cofactors and prosthetic groups				
Occurrence	Name of modulator	Activator	Inhibitor	Occurrence	Name of compound	Cofactor	Prosthetic group
35	Cu <sup>2+</sup>		•	145	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	•	•
32 30	ATP Zn <sup>2+</sup>	:	:	48 33	pyridoxal 5'-phosphate Mn <sup>2+</sup>	:	•
29	AMP	•	•	31	FAD	•	•
26	ADP	•	•	21	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	•	•
25	EDTA	•	•	18	Zn <sup>2+</sup>	•	•
23	<i>p</i> -chloromercuribenzoate		•	16	thiamine-pyrophosphate		•
23	pyrophosphate K⁺	•	•	11	FMN Co <sup>2+</sup>	•	•
22 22		•	•	10 9	K+	•	
20	phosphate Hg <sup>2+</sup>	•	:	6	Mo <sup>2+</sup>	•	
20	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	•		5	NAD		•
19	N-ethylmaleimide	•	•	4	protoheme		•
16	NAD	•	•	4	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	•	•
16	iodoacetamide		•	4	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	•	
16	coenzyme A		•	4	4Fe-4S center		•
15 15	Co <sup>2+</sup> Mq <sup>2+</sup>	:	:	3	NH4 <sup>+</sup>	•	
15	phosphoenolpyruvate			2	pyruvate siroheme		
14	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	•	•	3	cytochrome c		•
14	GTP	•	•	2	heme C		•
14	pyruvate	•	•	2	B <sub>12</sub>		•
13	p-hydroxymercuribenzoate		•	2	NADP	•	
13	NADP		•	2	Cu <sup>2+</sup>		•
12	Mn <sup>2+</sup>	•	•	2 2	biotin Cd <sup>2+</sup>	•	·

Table 3. Most Common Modulators, cofactors, and prosthetic groups of E. coli enzymes and Their Frequencies

#### Reactions catalyzed by more than one enzyme

Diagram showing the **number of reactions** that are **catalyzed** by **one or more enzymes**. Most reactions are catalyzed by one enzyme, some by two, and very few by more than two enzymes.



For 84 reactions, the corresponding enzyme is not yet encoded in EcoCyc.

What may be the reasons for isozyme redundancy?

(1) the enzymes that catalyze the same reaction are **paralogs** (homologs) and have duplicated (or were obtained by horizontal gene transfer), acquiring some specificity but retaining the same mechanism (**divergence**)

(2) the reaction is easily "invented"; therefore, there is more than one protein family that is independently able to perform the catalysis (**convergence**).


#### **Enzymes that catalyze more than one reaction**

Genome predictions usually assign a single enzymatic function.

However, *E.coli* is known to contain many multifunctional enzymes.

Of the 607 *E.coli* enzymes, 100 are multifunctional, either having the same active site and different substrate specificities or different active sites.


Number of enzymes that catalyze one or more reactions. Most enzymes catalyze one reaction; some are multifunctional.



The enzymes that catalyze 7 and 9 reactions are purine nucleoside phosphorylase and nucleoside diphosphate kinase.

The high proportion of multifunctional enzymes implies that the genome projects may significantly underpredict multifunctional enzymes!

## **Reactions participating in more than one pathway**



The 99 reactions belonging to multiple pathways appear to be the **intersection points** in the complex network of chemical processes in the cell.

Ouzonis, Karp, Genome Res. 10, 568 (2000)

E.g. the reaction present in 6 pathways corresponds to the reaction catalyzed by malate dehydrogenase, a central enzyme in cellular metabolism.

14. Lecture WS 2013/14


**Bioinformatics III** 

## Large-scale structure: Metabolic networks are scale-free ©

Attributes of generic network structures. **a**, Representative structure of the network generated by the Erdös–Rényi network model. **b**, The network connectivity can be characterized by the probability, P(k), that a node has k links. For a random network P(k) peaks strongly at  $k = \langle k \rangle$  and decays exponentially for large k (i.e.,  $P(k) \approx e^{-k}$ for  $k \gg \langle k \rangle$  and  $k \ll \langle k \rangle$ ).

**c**, In the scale-free network most nodes have only a few links, but a few nodes, called hubs (dark), have a very large number of links.


**d**, P(k) for a scale-free network has no well-defined peak, and for large *k* it decays as a power-law,  $P(k) \approx k^{\gamma}$ , appearing as a straight line with slope - on a log–log plot.



#### **Connectivity distributions** *P(k)* **for substrates**

- **a**, *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* (archae);
- **b**, *E. coli* (bacterium);
- **c**, *Caenorhabditis elegans* (eukaryote)
- **d**, The connectivity distribution averaged over 43 organisms.
- x-axis: metabolites participating in *k* reactionsy-axis (*P*(*k*)): number/frequency of such metabolites

log–log plot, counts separately the incoming (In) and outgoing links (Out) for each substrate.  $k_{in}$  ( $k_{out}$ ) corresponds to the number of reactions in which a substrate participates as a product (educt).



#### **Properties of metabolic networks**

**a**, The histogram of the biochemical pathway lengths, *I*, in *E. coli*.


**b**, The average path length (diameter) for each of the 43 organisms.

N: number of metabolites in each organism

c, d, Average number of incoming links (c) or outgoing links (d) per node for each organism.

**e**, The effect of substrate removal on the metabolic network diameter of *E. coli*.

In the top curve (red) the most connected substrates are removed first. In the bottom curve (green) nodes are removed randomly. M = 60 corresponds to 8% of the total number of substrates in found in *E. coli*.



**b**–**d**, Archaea (magenta), bacteria (green) and eukaryotes (blue) are shown.

#### Interpretation of metabolic network connectivity


Despite significant variations in their individual constituents and pathways,

the metabolic networks of 43 organisms representing all 3 domains of life

have the same topological scaling properties and show striking similarities

to the inherent organization of complex non-biological systems.

#### **Development of the network-based pathway paradigm**



(c) Subsequently, **network-based**, mathematically defined **pathways** can be analyzed that account for a complete network (black and gray arrows correspond to active and inactive reactions). (a) With advanced biochemical techniques, years of research have led to the precise characterization of individual reactions. As a result, the **complete** stoichiometries of many metabolic reactions have been characterized. (b) Most of these reactions have been grouped into **`traditional pathways'** (e.g. glycolysis) that do not account for cofactors and byproducts in a way that lends itself to a mathematical description. However, with sequenced and annotated genomes, models can be made that account for many metabolic reactions in an organism.

Papin et al. TIBS 28, 250 (2003)


## **Stoichiometric matrix**

Stoichiometric matrix:

A matrix with reaction stochiometries as columns and metabolite participations as rows.

The stochiometric matrix is an important part of the *in silico* model.

With the matrix, the methods of extreme pathway and elementary mode analyses can be used to generate a unique set of pathways P1, P2, and P3 (see future lecture).



Papin et al. TIBS 28, 250 (2003)