Metabolism and Toxicology

Finding a substance that shows an effect *in vitro* does not mean that this is a suitable *drug candidate* as well.


The vast majority of chemical substances undergo biochemical transformations inside the body (metabolisms).

Some of these reactions lead to degradation products (metabolites) that are toxic.

It is therefore important to reckognize unsuitable compounds as early as possible:

"Fail early, fail fast, fail cheap"

Why is the prediction of ADME parameters that important ?



Reasons that lead to failure or withdrawl of a potential drug around 1995 – 2000

For risks and side effects...

Adverse effects are assumed to be the 5.-6.most frequent cause of death (USA 1994)

Most frequent (natural) cause: cardio-vasucular complications

List of withdrawn drugs (not comprehensive)


trade name	adverse effect	manufactur	er time
rofecoxib	thrombosis,stroke	Merck(USA)	Sep 2004
cerivastatin	rhabdomyolysis	Bayer	Aug 2001
alosetron	ischemic colitis	GSK	Nov 2000
cisapride	cardiac arrhythmia	Janssen	Jun 2000
pemoline	liver toxicity War	ner-Lambert	May 2000
mibefradil	drug/drug Interactio	n Roche	Jun 1998
terfenadine	cardiac arrhythmia	Höchst	Dec 1997
fenfluramine	heart valve disease	Wyeth	Sep 1997

source: J. Gut TheraSTrat AG, Allschwil, CH upto 2001)

Why drugs fail

90% of market withdrawals caused by drug toxicity, from that ²/₃ are due to hepatotoxicity and cardiovasuclar toxicity Drugs failing in clinical phases I-III between 1992 to 2002 were mainly due to insufficient efficacy (43%)

 \rightarrow Drug toxicity must be detected earlier than after market launch



Source: Schuster, Laggner, Langer, Curr. Pharm. Des. 11 (2005) 3545.


8th lecture


QT interval prolongation (I)

Cardiac arrhythmias are among the most frequent adverse effects that lead to the failure of drugs (frequently as late as in clinical phases III or IV).

Often a prolongation of the socalled QT-interval in the ECG is observed.

The upper limit is usually at 440-470 msec for pulse of 60 beats per minute.





QT interval prolongation (II)

Since the heart beat rate is subject to change, the QT-time is normalized to the so-called *QTc interval* via division by the root of the preceeding RR interval (Bazett correction):

 $QTc = QT / RR^{1/2}$

For pulse of 60 the RR-interval is 1 sec long


The observed current in the ECG during the QT-time is mainly due to the delayed activity of the cardial potassium channel (*outward repolarizing current* I_{Kr}).


This voltage gated channel is coded by the so-called *human ether-a-gogo related gene* (hERG).

This effect is frequently used by anti-arrhythmic drugs of class III. On the other hand, too long QT-times can lead to fatal distortions of the cardial rhythm itself.


Lit: R.R.Shah Brit.J.Clin.Pharmacol. 54 (2002) 188.

The *h*ERG potassium channel (I)






The activity of the *h*ERG channel accounts for the rapid potassium component (K_r rapid) of the outward repolarizing current I during the QT-interval




Lit: M.Recanatini et al. *Med.Res.Rev.* 25 (2005) 133.

8th lecture

The *h*ERG potassium channel (II)



hERG channel blocking drugs



In connection with QT-Interval prolongation withdrawn drugs: all exhibit high binding affinity to the *h*ERG potassium channel.

Lit: A.M.Aronov *Drug Discov. Today* **10** (2005) 149. 8th lecture Modern Methods in Drug Discovery WS14/15


Historical development in the USA

As a consequence of about 105 deaths caused by poisoning from an elixir of sulphanilamide in 72% diethylene glycole (Massengill incident), the United States Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act of 1938 was passed, that regulates the passive approvement of substances by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

According to that, drugs have to be safe (at least) for their indicated use.

The approvement for (chemical) substances that are manufactured in larger quantities is subject to the Environmental Protecting Agency (EPA).

Lethal dose LD50 ≈ 1ml/kg body weight



Historical development in Germany


Until 1961 there was no comprehensive legislation regarding marketing of medical drugs in the former Federal Republic of Germany.

Decisive for the new legislation was the so-called Contergan-scandal: The responsible substance thalidomid (a sedative) did not show any indications in the original animal tests (mice), but showed to be teratogen in humans.

The Arzneimittelgesetz regulates among other things:

- requirements for clinical studies and tests
- prove of efficacy [Wirksamkeit]
- prove of non-existant toxicity for humans

Interconversion of thalidomide



R-thalidomide

S-thalidomide

Racemizaction within few hours

New indications:

Antineoplastic, antiangiogenetic (both anti-tumor)

Pre-clinical phase

After completing the *lead optimization* there are studies *in vitro* (model system of single and multiple cells) and *in vivo* (testing on animals) on the *lead candidate(s)*.

During this stage filing for patent also occurs, whereby always a series of compounds is claimed in order to

- not stick to one single substance
- reserve similar potential substances
- complicate generic drugs ("*me-too*") [Nachahmungspräparate]

At the lastest compounds receive an United States Adopted Name (USAN) at this stage. Example: cisapride

clinical studies / tests (I)

Phase I: Validation if the animal model can be transferred to human. Deriving dosage guidelines (10-50 test persons, "healthy male", no risk group)

Phase II: Validation of effiacy and relative harmlessness on some patients


Phase III: Validation of effiacy and relative harmlessness on a larger number of patients. (as well as adverse effects upon co-administration with other medications)

After the market launch

Phase IV: As in phase III, but more comprehensive number of patients, recording of rare side effects, long term studies, validation of cost efficiency

clinical studies / tests (II)

Duration (in months) for the clinical and pre-clinical development



Source: P.Preziosi Nature Rev.Drug.Discov. 3 (2004) 521.

Approvement and launch (I)

The approvement in the USA is regulated by the Food and Drug Administration, in the EU now centrally the Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte as well as the Deutsche Institut für medizinische Dokumentation und Information.

A new medication is only approved if,

- the field of application or the mode of action is new
- it shows a better effiacy than existing drugs
- it is better tolerated or shows less adverse effects
- it has a different administration [Darreichungsform] (Galenik)

The result of an approvement process is more and more decisive for the financial future of the manufacturer.

Approvement and launch (II)

A new medication is also referred to as *new chemical entity* (NCE).


Investment per new chemical entity: >500,000 \$ New chemical entities per year: ca. 15

World Drug Index58,000 compoundsUSAN<10,000 in clinical trial</td>

Drugs approved by the FDA			expenses for research and development (USA)	
1996	53	1980	2 Mrd US\$	
1997	39	1985	4 Mrd US\$	
1998	30	1990	8 Mrd US\$	
1999	35	1995	15 Mrd US\$	
2000	27	2000	26 Mrd US\$	
2001	24	2001	30 Mrd US\$	
2002	17	2002 es	timated 32 Mrd US\$	

Approvement and launch (III)

Trend in approval of new chemical entities




Nature Reviews | Drug Discovery

Lit: B. Hughes Nature Rev. Drug. Discov. 7 (2008) 107-109.

From the pipeline to the market launch


Counting from the number of actually approved drugs (*new chemical enitity, NCE*) back to the number of *in vitro* screened compounds, results in more than 1,000 per drug.

Without the available computer-aided ADMET filters, this number would be even larger.




Nature Reviews | Drug Discovery

Flow of information in a *drug discovery pipeline*



Drug Discovery Today


Process of optimization from the *lead candidate* to the *drug candidate*




Past: optimization of effiacy first, then improvement of ADME-Tox criteria

Today: simultaneous optimization of effiacy and ADME-Tox properties (requires *in silico* AMDET models)

eADMET Prediction



Scope of ADME-Tox models



ADMET models

" ... the modification of organic compounds by the microsomal enzymes can be understood in terms of physico-chemical constants in a quantitative fashion."

C. Hansch (1972)

Lit: H. van de Waterbeemd, E. Gifford "ADMET *in silico* Modelling: Towards Prediction Paradise ?" *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* **2** (2003) 192-204

Metabolism (I)

(bio-)chemical reactions of xenobiotics in the body

First pass effect:

Extensive metabolization of mainly lipophilic molecules, such with MW>500, or those that have a specific affinity to certain transporters, during the first passage through the liver

Phase I:

Oxidation, reduction and hydrolysis esp. cytochrome P450 enzymes

Phase II:

Conjugation with small molecules (e.g. glutamine)

Phase III:

elimination by transporters


Enzymes contributing to metabolism

Phase I:

oxidation, reduktion and hydrolysis cytochrome P450 enzymes (see lecture 10) dihydropyrimidin-, alcohol-, and aldehyde dehydrogenases epoxide hydrolases, esterases and aminases flavine monoxygenases

Phase II:


conjugation with small molecules (e.g. amino acids) N-acetyltransferase, glutathione S-transferase uridinediphosphate-glucuronosyltransferases sulfotransferases, methyltransferases



Phase III: elimination by transporters P-glycoprotein (MDR1) All of these enzymes are subject to individual and sometimes large variations.

8th lecture

Metabolisms (II)



experimental (in vitro) methods:

human liver microsomes, hepatocytes and recombinant P450 enzymes (expressed in *E. coli*)

8th lecture

Elimination / Excretion


Elelimination comprises all processes that lead to removing of a substance from a compartment. These can also be metabolic.

Lipophilic substances can be excreted using bile [Gallensaft], hydrophilic compounds via urine.

In general:


MW <300	300-500	>500


bile bile & urine urine



Metabolismus during absorbtion (I)

Transcytosis (see D)






- A transcellular (passive diffusion)
- B paracellular
- C active transport
- D transcytosis

Cross-section from the colon wall

Phase I processes (I)



8th lecture

Phase I processes (II)

decarboxylation (release of CO_2) of carboxylate groups of amino acids, etc.

reduction (formal addition of H₂) of carbonyl compounds by alcohol dehydrogenases or aldo-keto reductases


azo compounds (via hydrazo compounds to amines) by NADPH-cytochrome *c* reductase and other enzymes nitro compounds

reductive dehalogenation (replacing halogens by hydrogen) of aliphatic compounds


Phase I processes (III)

Oxidative reactions of

alcoholes and aldehydes to carboxylates



phenyl groups to phenol (in para position)



Phase I processes (IV)

Oxidative O- and N-dealkylation

 $R1-X-CH_2-R2 \longrightarrow R1-X-H + R2-CHO X=O, NH$

Oxidative deamination

by the monoamine dehydrogenase (MAO)

 $RCH_2NH_2 \longrightarrow RCHO$

Oxidative desulfuration


 $R1-C-R2 \longrightarrow R1-C-R2$

Further oxidases are

flavine monooxygenase isoenzyme aldehyde oxidase superfamily of cytochrome P450 enzymes


Phase II processes (I)

Glucuronidation




e.g. of


acetaminophen, morphium, diazepam, trichlorethanol phenol groups in general




Phase II processes (II)




formation of mercapto acids



Phase II processes (III)




conjugation with glutamine



e.g. of indolyl acetic acid, phenyl acetic acid


Phase II processes (IV)






R

e.g. of methadon, nicotinamide, norepinephrine




catechloamine (by catechlol-*O*-methyl transferase)

Metabolization of Xenobiotica (I)




Metabolization of Xenobiotica (II)



Metabolization of Xenobiotica (III)


Example for particularly awkward metabolites



Therefore phenacetin is discontinued

Metabolization of Xenobiotica (IV)

Examples where metabolites of drugs are also pharmacologically active




Modern Methods in Drug Discovery WS14/15

Improved metabolic stability

Increasing the bioavailability through:

Replacing esters by amides



Toxicological endpoints

effects on the body: Modifications

of the metabolism (e.g. hormones) of the organs of the behaviour

Common toxicity, acute poisoning, irritation of skin and eyes

cytotoxic cardial toxicity (*h*ERG channel) hepatotoxic (PXR, CAR) nephrotoxic immunotoxicity (sensibilization, allergens) neurotoxic (neural receptor bindung) drug-drug interactions (cytochrome P450 induction) genotoxic cancerogen / mutagen teratogen

ADMET models (II)

The vast amount of possible reactions make prediction of metabolic and toxic properties difficults.

Characteristic reactions of specific compounds are summerized in data bases

Commerical expert systems (selection)

DEREK, METEOR	http://www.chem.leeds.ac.uk/luk/
HazardExpert	CompuDrug Ltd.
TOPKAT	Accelrys
M-CASE	Multicase
ToxPredict	Idea Consult
GastroPlus	Simulations Plus, Inc.

ADMET models (III)

metabolic aspects	descriptors
biotransformation	chemical structure of some metabolites to derive a <i>decision tree</i> physico-chemical properties
binding to enzymes	esp. to human serum albumin (HSA), cytochrome P450 enzymes (see lecture 10)
catalytic reactions	reaction mechanism turn over rate
drug-drug interaction	inhibition or induction

ADMET models (IV)

Reappearing descriptors in QSAR equations

log(T) = a(H) + b(E) + c(S) + constant

- T: (specific) toxicity
- H: hydrophobicity $\rightarrow \log P$
- E: electronic terms
- S: steric terms

C. Hansch et al. J.Am.Chem.Soc. 86 (1964) 1616

Over time nothing has changed on this elementary equation !

Dominance of a single term indicates a mode of action like in other QSAR equations

ADMET models (V)

Experimental assays:

aquatic toxicity:	uni-cellular organisms (<i>Tetrahymena pyrifomis</i> , <i>Vibro fischeri</i>)
mutagenicity (AMES):	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> + S9 (liver enzymes)
Skin irritation:	guinea pig [Meerschweinchen]
Eye irritation:	rabbit eye
<i>in vivo</i> ADMET:	zebra fish

Review of QSAR-methods regarding toxicology:

T.W. Schultz et al. J.Mol.Struct.(THEOCHEM) 622 (2003) 1

T.W. Schultz et al. *idem* 622 (2003) 23

Toxicity models

Publicly funded prediction server:

www.opentox.org hosts models for:

- Oral toxicity
- Mutagenicity
- Cytochrome P450 metabolism

www.cosmostox.org for long-term toxicity of cosmetic ingredients

Currently all available machine learning algorithms including QSAR, decision trees, random forest, Naive Bayes, support vector machines, neural networks, etc. are applied.

Lit. X.Li et al. *J.Chem.Inf.Model.* **54** (2014) 1061-1069.

8th lecture

Environmental Toxicity

Experimental assays/endpoints routinely applied (e.g. for EPA and REACH legislation in the EU):

- 96-hour fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) 50 percent lethal concentration (LC50)
- 48-hour daphnia magna LC50 concentration
- *Tetrahymena pyriformis* 50 percent growth inhibition concentration (ICG50)
- Oral rat 50 percent lethal dose (LD50)
- Bioaccumulation factor

Source of pictures: wikipedia







Drug Safety

Drug-Drug interactions:

Co-adminstration with other medications Drug Interaction Database http://depts.washington.edu/ventures/pfolio/didb.htm

Ecotoxicology:

How do the excreted drugs and their metabolites react in the environment ?

 \rightarrow biodegradability of drugs, e.g. antibiotics